

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,931	09/16/2003	John Higgins	03-029-JH	2331
7590 12/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Melissa Patangia, ESQ.			BUI, LUAN KIM	
Lambert and As	ssociates			DARRAM (DED
92 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02109			3728	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,931	HIGGINS, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luan K. Bui	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2005.					
·= · · _ 	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,4,8 and 12-17 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,8 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	1 11					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, F	,			

Art Unit: 3728

After further reconsideration of the Office Actions mailed on 1/10/2005 and 6/22/2005, the Examiner is hereby withdrawn those Office Actions in favor of the instant Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wick (5,014,851). Wick discloses a packaging assembly in the embodiment of Figures 5 and 6 comprising a card (14) having a top surface and a bottom surface including at least one sealed cavity (23) located on the top surface at the perimeter edge of the card. The cavity is configured to contain oral medical tablets or a tube of medicament (13) (Figure 6).
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 12, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kerfoot, Jr. (5,390,796). Kerfoot discloses an apparatus (96) in the embodiment of Figures 12-14 comprising a card (12) having a top surface and a bottom surface including at least one sealed cavity (102, defined by sheets 14, 95) located on the top surface at the perimeter edge of the card. The cavity is configured to contain oral medical tablets (53). As to claim 12, Kerfoot further discloses the card has a thickness of 0.003 to 0.005 inch thick (column 5, lines 48-49) which is thinner than the thickness of a credit card. As to claim 13, the top surface of the card

Art Unit: 3728

has writing (27, 35). As to claim 17, Kerfoot further discloses the cavity resealing mechanism for multiple uses (column 2, lines 16-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wick (5,014,851) in view of Kerfoot, Jr. (5,390,796). Wick discloses the packaging assembly as above having all the limitations of the claims. It appears from the drawings that the thickness of the card is thinner or the same thickness as a credit card, to the extent that Wick fails to show the card has a thickness thinner or the same thickness as a credit card, Kerfoot teaches a card (12) has a thickness of 0.003 to 0.005 inch thick (column 5, lines 48-49) which is thinner than the thickness of a credit card. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Kerfoot to modify the card so it has a thickness of thinner than a credit card to provide less material during manufacture. As to claim 16, the card of Wick has writing on the bottom surface (Figure 5).
- 6. Claims 8 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot, Jr. (5,390,796) in view of Wick (5,014,851). Kerfoot discloses the apparatus as above having all the limitations of the claims. To the extent that Kerfoot fails to show the cavity being

Art Unit: 3728

designed to contain a tube of medicament, Wick show a sealed cavity (23) is designed to contain a tube of medicament (Figure 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Wick to modify the apparatus of Kerfoot so the sealed cavity is designed to contain a tube of medicament to allow the cavity to hold various types of medicaments. As to claims 14 and 15, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide various types of writing on the top surface of the card such as instructions or advertisement to provide more convenience for the user. As to claim 16, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Wick to modify the card of Kerfoot so the bottom surface is also includes writing to provide more convenience for the user.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 11/10/2005 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,931

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (571) 273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

December 16, 2005

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Page 5